

polymer

Polymer 41 (2000) 8721–8724

www.elsevier.nl/locate/polymer

Polymer Communication

Miscibility of C_{60} -containing poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene oxide) with styrenic polymers

S.H. Goh*, J.W. Zheng, S.Y. Lee

Department of Chemistry, National University of Singapore, 3 Science Drive 3, Singapore, Singapore 117543

Received 10 March 2000; received in revised form 10 April 2000; accepted 11 April 2000

Abstract

The miscibility of C_{60} -containing poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene oxide) (PPO- C_{60}) with several styrenic polymers was studied. PPO- C_{60} samples containing 6.9 and 19.5 wt% C₆₀ are miscible with polystyrene, poly(p -methylstyrene), poly(α -methylstyrene) and poly(styrene-*cop*-methylstyrene) based on the optical clarity of the blends and the single glass transition temperature criterion. However, the two PPO-C₆₀ samples are miscible with poly(styrene-*co*-acrylonitrile) when the acrylonitrile content of the copolymer is 6.3 wt% or less. q 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: C₆₀-containing poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene oxide); Styrenic polymers; Miscibility

1. Introduction

[60]Fullerene (C_{60}) -containing polymers have received much attention in recent years [1–3]. These polymers are expected to possess the properties of both C_{60} and the parent polymers. Various methods have been developed to attach C_{60} chemically onto polymer chains [4–10]. Recently, we have studied the complexation between C_{60} -derivatives and polymers [11,12].

Blending of suitable pairs of polymers offers a simple means to produce new materials. The properties of a polymer blend depend on the miscibility of the component polymers [13,14]. If the two polymers are able to interact with each other through specific interactions, they are likely to mix intimately to form a miscible blend. Since it can be difficult to link C₆₀ chemically onto some polymers, the use of C₆₀containing polymers as blend components becomes an attractive alternative [15–17]. In this respect, it is important to study how the incorporation of C_{60} could affect the ability of the parent polymer to mix with other polymers.

Polystyrene (PS)/poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene oxide) (PPO) blend is a classic example of miscible polymer blend [18,19]. A survey on the miscibility and phase behavior of blends related to the PS/PPO system was recently published by Vukovic et al. [20]. In an earlier study, we reported that C_{60} -containing PS with C_{60} content up to 13.6 wt% is still miscible with PPO [15]. Polotskaya et al. [21] recently reported that the addition of C_{60} to PPO improves the transport properties of PPO membranes. We now report the miscibility of two C_{60} -containing PPO samples with the following styrenic polymers: PS; poly(*p*methylstyrene), P*p*MS; poly(a-methylstyrene), PaMS; poly(styrene-*co*-*p*-methylstyrene), PSMS; and poly(styrene-*co*-acrylonitrile), SAN.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

The following commercial polymers were used: PS (BDH Chemicals); P*p*MS (Polysciences, Inc.); PaMS (Aldrich); and PPO (General Electric). Various PSMS and SAN copolymers were prepared by solution polymerization in 2-butanone initiated by azobisisobutyronitrile. The composition of PSMS was determined by ¹H NMR based on the intensity ratio of methyl protons to phenyl protons. The composition of SAN was determined from its nitrogen content. The weightaverage molecular weights (M_w) and glass transition temperatures (T_gs) of the polymers are given in Table 1. The numbers after PSMS and SAN denote the weight percentages of styrene and acrylonitrile in the copolymer, respectively.

2.2. Synthesis of PPO-C60

Two PPO- C_{60} samples were synthesized using a

Corresponding author. Tel.: $+ 65-874-2844$; fax: $+ 65-779-1691$. *E-mail address:* chmgohsh@nus.edu.sg (S.H. Goh).

^{0032-3861/00/\$ -} see front matter © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0032-3861(00)00297-4

Table 1 Characteristics of polymers

| Polymer | $M_{\rm w}$ (kg/mol) | $T_{\rm g}$ (°C) | |
|----------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| PS | 150 | 100 | |
| PpMS | 240 | 106 | |
| $P\alpha MS$ | 113 | 63 | |
| PPO | 41 | 214 | |
| PSMS-15 | 36 | 107 | |
| PSMS-36 | 33 | 106 | |
| PSMS-54 | 31 | 105 | |
| PSMS-72 | 54 | 104 | |
| PSMS-90 | 38 | 103 | |
| $SAN-2.2$ | 21 | 100 | |
| $SAN-6.3$ | 32 | 102 | |
| $SAN-9.1$ | 20 | 100 | |
| SAN-10.2 | 74 | 101 | |

three-step process. PPO was bromobenzylated according to the method of Cabasso et al. [22]. The bromo derivative was then converted to azide. Lastly, the azide group was allowed to react with C_{60} to afford PPO- C_{60} using the Hawker's method [23].

A mixture of PPO (7 g), *N*-bromosuccinimide (0.5 g) and benzoyl peroxide (0.1 g) in 1.2 l of CCl₄ was allowed to reflux for 5 h. The solution was then cooled to room temperature, and the succinimide was filtered and removed. The bromobenzylated PPO (BrPPO) was obtained by precipitation from excess methanol cooled in an ice bath. BrPPO was purified by several dissolution/precipitation cycles using chloroform as solvent and methanol as non-solvent. The BrPPO was found to contain 1.38 wt% Br, corresponding to 2.1 mol.% bromobenzylated PPO unit.

BrPPO $(2 g)$ was allowed to react with NaN₃ $(0.1 g)$ in 120 ml of a mixture of toluene/dimethyl sulfoxide (3/1) at $40-50^{\circ}$ C for 2 days. The azido-PPO was obtained by precipitation from excess methanol; it was then purified by

Fig. 1. DSC curves of blends: (a) PPO-C₆₀-6.9/PS; (b) PPO-C₆₀-19.5/PS; (c) PPO-C₆₀-6.9/P*pMS*; (d) PPO-C₆₀-19.5/P*pMS*; (e) PPO-C₆₀-6.9/PαMS; (f) PPO-C $_{60}$ -19.5/P α MS.

several dissolution/precipitation cycles using chloroform as solvent and methanol as non-solvent. The azido-PPO was found to contain 0.74 wt% of nitrogen, corresponding to 2.15 mol.% azido-PPO unit. All the bromine in BrPPO have been quantitatively converted to azide.

Azido-PPO (2.0 g) was stirred with C_{60} (0.33 g, 1.3 times the molar amount of azide groups) in 120 ml of chlorobenzene. After the complete dissolution of C_{60} , the mixture was refluxed under nitrogen until the strong IR band of the azide group at 2095 cm^{-1} had completely disappeared. Chlorobenzene was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was dissolved in chloroform and the excess C_{60} was removed by centrifugation. To completely remove the unreacted C_{60} , the chloroform solution was washed repeatedly with *n*-hexane until the *n*-hexane layer was colorless. The PPO-C₆₀ sample was found to contain 6.9 wt% C₆₀ by isothermal thermogravimetry. The C_{60} content of the sample is less than the expected value of 11.4 wt% assuming monoaddition between azide and C_{60} , showing that some of the C_{60} have reacted with two or more azide groups. Similar procedures were used to prepare another $PP0-C_{60}$ sample containing 19.5 wt% C_{60} . The two PPO- C_{60} samples dissolve readily in common organic solvents such as chloroform, dichloromethane, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and chlorobenzene. The UV–vis spectra of the two PPO- C_{60} samples show the characteristic absorption of C_{60} at 330 nm.

2.3. Preparation and characterization of blends

Various binary blends in a weight ratio of 1:1 were prepared by solution casting from toluene. After the evaporation of the solvent on a hot-plate at 90° C, the blends were then dried in vacuo at 90°C for two weeks. The optical appearance of the blends was examined using an Olympus BH2-UMA polarizing microscope.

The C_{60} contents of the two PPO- C_{60} samples were estimated by isothermal thermogravimetry using a TA Instruments SDT2960 DTA–TGA under a nitrogen atmosphere. Sample was kept at 580°C until a constant weight was reached. The difference in the amounts of residue of PPO and PPO-C₆₀ was taken to be the C₆₀ content. The C₆₀ contents of the two samples were found to be 6.9 and 19.5 wt% and were designated as PPO- C_{60} -6.9 and PPO- C_{60} -19.5, respectively.

The glass transition temperatures of various samples were measured using a TA Instruments 2920 differential scanning calorimeter under a nitrogen atmosphere with a heating rate of 20° C/min. The initial onset of the change of slope in the DSC curve was taken as T_g . The T_g s of PPO-C₆₀-6.9 and PPO- C_{60} -19.5 are 218 and 228°C, respectively. The incorporation of C_{60} increases the T_g value of PPO.

3. Results and discussion

PPO is miscible not only with PS but also with P*p*MS [24–26] and P α MS [24,27]. In addition, PPO is miscible

Fig. 2. DSC curves of PPO- C_{60} -6.9/PSMS blends: (a) PSMS-15; (b) PSMS-36; (c) PSMS-54; (d) PSMS-72; (e) PSMS-90.

with PSMS over the whole copolymer composition range [28].

Fig. 1 shows the DSC curves of various blends of PPO- C_{60} with PS, PpMS and P α MS. Each blend showed the existence of a well-defined glass transition with a T_g value intermediate to those of $PPO-C_{60}$ and the styrenic polymer. In addition, all these blends were optically clear. It is therefore concluded that PPO-C₆₀ with a C₆₀ content of 19.5 wt% is still miscible with the three styrenic polymers.

Figs. 2 and 3 show the DSC curves of PPO- C_{60} -6.9/PSMS (1:1) and PPO- C_{60} -19.5/PSMS (1:1) blends, respectively. Similarly, each blend exhibited one glass transition and the T_g value is intermediate between the component polymers. The existence of a single glass transition together with their optical clarity shows that the two PPO- C_{60} samples are miscible with PSMS over the whole copolymer composition range.

Kressler and Kammer [29] reported the miscibility of

Fig. 3. DSC curves of PPO- C_{60} -19.5/PSMS blends: (a) PSMS-15; (b) PSMS-36; (c) PSMS-54; (d) PSMS-72; (e) PSMS-90.

Fig. 4. DSC curves of PPO-C₆₀-6.9/SAN blends: (a) SAN-2.2; (b) SAN-6.3; (c) SAN-9.1; (d) SAN-12.5.

PPO with SAN. PPO is miscible with SAN when the AN content of the copolymer is 10.5 wt% or less; it is immiscible with SAN when the AN content is 12.4 wt% or more. In other words, the miscibility–immiscibility boundary is around $11-12$ wt% AN. Blends of PPO-C₆₀-6.9 or PPO- C_{60} -19.5 with SAN having 2.2 and 6.3 wt% AN were clear, whereas blends of the two PPO- C_{60} samples with SAN having 9.1 and 12.5 wt% AN were hazy. Thus the optical clarity of the blends suggests that the miscibility– immiscibility boundary is between 6.3 and 9.1 wt% AN. The DSC curves of the two PPO- C_{60}/SAN blend systems are shown in Figs. 4 and 5. Indeed, a single T_g is observed when the AN content of SAN is 2.2 or 6.3 wt% while two T_g s are observed when the AN content is 9.1 wt% or more. The upper T_g of the PPO-C₆₀-19.5/SAN-12.5 blend is difficult to detect. Nevertheless, the hazy appearance and the existence of a glass transition close to that of SAN-12.5 are sufficient to show the immiscibility of this blend.

Fig. 5. DSC curves of PPO- C_{60} -19.5/SAN blends: (a) SAN-2.2; (b) SAN-6.3; (c) SAN-9.1; (d) SAN-12.5.

Therefore, immiscibility sets in when the AN content in SAN is about 7–8 wt%. As compared to the PPO/SAN system, the incorporation of C_{60} onto PPO reduces the ability of PPO to mix with SAN.

In summary, PPO- C_{60} containing up to 19.5 wt% C_{60} is still miscible with PS, P*p*MS, PaMS and PSMS. The two PPO- C_{60} samples are miscible with SAN if the AN content of the copolymer is less than about 7 wt%.

Acknowledgements

The financial support of this research (RP960670) by the National University of Singapore is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] Chen Y, Huang ZE, Cai RF, Yu BC. Eur Polym J 1998;34:137.
- [2] Dai L. Polym Adv Technol 1999;10:357.
- [3] Geckeler KE, Samal S. Polym Int 1999;48:743.
- [4] Anantharaj V, Wang LY, Canteenwala T, Chiang LY. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 1 1999:3357.
- [5] Ederle Y, Mathis C. Macromolecules 1999;32:554.
- [6] Ilhan F, Rotello VM. J Org Chem 1999;64:1455.
- [7] Kraus A, Mullen K. Macromolecules 1999;32:4214.
- [8] Scamporrino E, Vitalini D, Mineo P. Macromolecules 1999;32:4247.
- [9] Sun YP, Lawson GE, Huang W, Wright AD, Moton DK. Macromolecules 1999;32:8747.
- [10] Xiao L, Shimotani H, Ozawa M, Li J, Dragoe N, Saigo K, Kitazawa K. J Polym Sci Part A, Polym Chem 1999;37:3632.
- [11] Lu ZH, Goh SH, Lee SY. Macromol Chem Phys 1999;200:1515.
- [12] Huang XD, Goh SH, Lee SY, Huan CHA. Macromol Chem Phys 2000;201:281.
- [13] Shonaike GO, Simon GP, editors. Polymer blends and alloys, New York: Marcel Dekker, 1999.
- [14] Paul DR, Bucknall CB, editors. Polymer blends, New York: Wiley, 1999.
- [15] Zheng JW, Goh SH, Lee SY. Macromolecules 1997;30:8069.
- [16] Zheng JW, Goh SH, Lee SY. J Appl Polym Sci 2000;75:1393.
- [17] Okamura H, Minoda M, Fududa T, Miyamoto T, Komatsu K. Macromol Rapid Commun 1999;20:37.
- [18] Bair HE. Polym Engng Sci 1970;10:247.
- [19] Schultz AR, Gendron BM. J Appl Polym Sci 1972;16:461.
- [20] Vukovic R, Bogdanic G, Karasz FE, MacKnight WJ. J Phys Chem Ref Data 1999;28:851.
- [21] Polotskaya GA, Andreeva DV, El'yashevich GK. Tech Phys Lett 1999;25:555.
- [22] Cabasso I, Jagur-Grodinzinske J, Vofsi D. J Appl Polym Sci 1974;18:1969.
- [23] Hawker CJ. Macromolecules 1994;27:4836.
- [24] Kambour RP, Gundlach PE, Wang IC, White DM, Yeager GW. Polym Commun 1988;29:170.
- [25] Maconnachie A, Fried JR, Tomlins PE. Macromolecules 1989;22:4606.
- [26] Goh SH, Lee SY. Eur Polym J 1989;25:571.
- [27] Kressler J, Kammer HW, Herhoz R, Heyde H. Acta Polym 1990; 41:1.
- [28] Vukovic R, Kuresevic V, Zuanic M. Polymeri 1989;10:148.
- [29] Kressler J, Kammer HW. Acta Polym 1987;38:600.